UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

B	RADFORD BOHANON,)
	Plaintiff,)) v.) 1:16-cv-02117-JRS-MJD
C]	TTY OF INDIANAPOLIS,
	Defendants.)
	<u>VERDICT FORM</u>
W	e, the jury in the above-entitled action, unanimously answer the following questions:
	Did the Plaintiff, Bradford Bohanon, prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the
	Defendant, City of Indianapolis, was deliberately indifferent to a likelihood that its policies
	would cause off-duty police officers to use unreasonable force while they had alcohol in their
	blood?
	Place a checkmark next to the statement that accurately describes your finding:
	Yes No
[If mo	you answered yes, proceed to Question 2. If you answered no, you should not answer any ore questions on this form, and the foreperson should sign and date this form at the end.]
2.	Did the Plaintiff, Bradford Bohanon, prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Michael
	Reiger and John "Nick" Serban's use of unreasonable force in violation of Plaintiff's rights
	was in fact caused by the City's policies?
	Place a checkmark next to the statement that accurately describes your finding:
	Yes No

[If you answered yes, proceed to Question 3. If you answered no, you should not answer any more questions on this form, and the foreperson should sign and date this form at the end.]

3. Did the Plaintiff, Bradford Bohanon, prove his damages by a preponderance of the evidence?
Place a checkmark next to the statement that accurately describes your finding:
Yes No
[If you answered no to Question 3, then Plaintiff is entitled to and only should be awarded \$1.00 in nominal damages. Proceed to Question 4.]
4. What amount of damages is Plaintiff, Bradford Bohanon, entitled to receive from
Defendant, City of Indianapolis, on his claim?
a. #1,200 \$1,241,500 Compensatory Damages
b Nominal damages
[The foreperson should sign and date the verdict form.]
Foreperson: Date: 9/18/2019